# Translation

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



# **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 52019 PCT	Preliminary Examination Report (Form PC1/1F EA/410)		
International application No.	International filing date (	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/FR2003/003544	01 décembre 2003	(01.12.2003)	29 novembre 2002 (29.11.2002)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or n B42F 7/04, B42B 5/12	I national classification and I	PC	
Applicant	PAPETERIES I	HAMELIN	
This international preliminary exam     and is transmitted to the applicant a	nination report has been pre according to Article 36.	epared by this Intern	national Preliminary Examining Authority
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	f5 sheets, ir	cluding this cover	sheet.
	nied by ANNEXES, i.e., sh for this report and/or sheets	eets of the descript	ion, claims and/or drawings which have been ations made before this Authority (see Rule
These annexes consist of a t	total ofsh	eets.	
3. This report contains indications re	lating to the following item	s:	
I Basis of the report			
II Priority			_
III Non-establishmen	t of opinion with regard to	novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability
IV Lack of unity of in			
V Reasoned stateme citations and expl	ant under Article 35(2) with anations supporting such st	regard to novelty, tatement	inventive step or industrial applicability;
VI Certain document	ts cited		
<b>1</b>	the international application		
VIII Certain observati	ons on the international app	plication	
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion	n of this report
25 juin 2004 (25.00	6.2004)	1	1 March 2005 (11.03.2005)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/		Authorized office	r
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.	

International application No.

PCT/FR2003/003544

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

I. Basis (	of the report		
1. With	regard to the e	elements of the international application:*	
	the internation	onal application as originally filed	
$\overline{\boxtimes}$	the description	on:	11 (11.1
	pages	1-4	, as originally filed
	pages		, filed with the demand
	pages	, filed with the letter of	
X	the claims:		
لاحا	pages '	1-5	, as originally filed
	pages	, as amended (together with any st	atement under Article 19
	nages		_, med with the demand
	pages	, filed with the letter of	
$\boxtimes$	the drawings	s:	
	norec	1/3-3/3	, as originally filed
	pages		_, nied with the demand
	pages	, filed with the letter of	
	the sequence	listing part of the description:	
	pages		, as originally filed
	pages		, nied with the demand
	pages	, filed with the letter of	
the The	international ase elements w the language the language or 55.3). the regard to liminary exame contained filed toget furnished furnished the state internation the state been furnished	ge of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)) ge of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).  Inge of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:  In the international application in written form.  Ither with the international application in computer readable form.  Subsequently to this Authority in written form.  Subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  The subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  The subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  The subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyonal application as filed has been furnished.  The subsequently is identical to the wished.	which is:  ).  ion (under Rule 55.2 and/ lication, the international  ond the disclosure in the
in	the the the this report to this report of 70.17).	adments have resulted in the cancellation of:  e description, pages  e claims, Nos  the drawings, sheets/fig  In that been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they are disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**  the ets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain the sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to the	er Article 14 are referred to n amendments (Rule 70.16
*** A1	пу гериисетен	m oncet Communic once anicommunication	

### 'INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/FR 03/03544

YES

NO

1-5

. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
Statement						
Novelty (N)	Claims	4	YES			
	Claims	1, 2, 3, 5	NO NO			
Inventive step (IS)	— Claims		YES			
	Claims	1-5	NO			

2. Citations and explanations

Industrial applicability (IA)

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: DE-U-20007028 (Herlitz PBS) 21-6-2000

D2: DE-U-8905630 (Beyer and Jochem) 13-7-1989

D3: DE-U-9016321 (Maier) 21-2-1991

Claims

Claims

D4: FR-A1-2792573 (Treillet SA J) 27-10-2000

The subject matter of claim 1 fails to comply with the requirement of novelty defined in PCT Article 33(2).

1. Document D1 describes a document folder with at least two flaps (32, 33), including a cover consisting of two front and back leaves (31, 120) joined together along a binding (18a), wherein one leaf (31) comprises a flap (33) along at least one of the upper and lower edges thereof and a further flap (32) along the side edge thereof, which flaps can be folded inwards relative to the leaf to retain the documents therein, and the documents consist of pages non-releasably attached to the binding (18a) of the two leaves (31, 120) so that pages can be stored by folding a series of pages over one another on top of the leaf (31) and under the flaps folded

onto said leaf (cf. claim 1).

It follows that the subject matter of claim 1 is not novel.

- 1.1 D1 also describes the features in claims 2, 3 and 5.
- Furthermore, D2 and D3 describe the features in claims 1 and 2 and claim 5, respectively (see, in particular, page 4, lines 13-19, figure 3; and page 3, lines 2-7, figures 1 to 3, respectively).
- 3. The problem that the present invention is intended to solve can thus be considered that of enhancing the attachment of the sheets in a document folder.
- 4. The solution proposed in claim 4 of the present application does not involve an inventive step as defined by PCT Article 33(3), for the following reasons:
- 4.1 The feature in dependent claim 4 has already been used for the same purpose in an equivalent document folder (see D4, page 5, lines 5-13, figure 1). It is obvious for a person skilled in the art to implement this feature, with a corresponding effect, in a document folder according to document D1, and thus arrive at a document folder according to claim 4.
- 5. As explained above, some of the features set forth in device claim 1 serve more to explicate the way in which the device is used than to define the device clearly in terms of technical features. The restrictions that these features are intended to define are not, therefore, clear from said claim (PCT Article 6).

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/FR 03/03544

- 5.1 Specifically, reference is made to the feature "... non-releasably attached to the binding ...".
- 6. It is not obvious how a spiral-type binding can solve the problem addressed by the invention, since it is desirable for sheets to be readily removable from a file, yet spiral bindings do not make this possible (PCT Article 5 & 6).